

The Glass-table Group

Structure:

- Questions to be posed
- Policy advice to be proferred
- Follow-on actions

Two sections:

- atlas/indicators
- standards

Three premises

1. That changes/improvements to tenure security are sought; a mere ranking of 193 countries is irrelevant.
2. That having 70% of the world suffering from tenure insecurity is unacceptable.
3. That the goal is to reduce the metric to 50% by 2020.

Atlas/indicators: Questions

1. To what extent can existing frameworks (e.g: F-F-P model) be used?
2. Should analysis focus on all countries, or on a sub-set of countries (i.e. those more in need)?
3. Should a distinction be made between urban/peri-urban lands and agricultural/rural lands?

Questions (p2)

4. What is the intended audience for the infographics (i.e. what meta-data, attributes, format, legends, themes)?
5. Should the typology of tenure (from communal to freehold) be respected?
6. How best to facilitate/enable reliable reporting by countries?

Questions (p3)

7. What indicators/metrics now exist (e.g. PRIndex, Land-Portal, Intl Property Rights Index, WB Agricultural ranking, WB Doing Business, Transparency Intl)¿
8. Should a distinction be made between the mere existence of institutions and their use (or non-use)?
9. What professional knowledge can/should the LAM Expert Group deploy?

Indicators – Policy advice

That an inventory (assessment) of existing indicators/metrics be compiled, so as not to re-invent the wheel.

Policy advice (p2)

That at least three pilot projects (in three countries spaced along the land tenure continuum) be engaged in, to:

- provide methodologies for others;
- identify cautionary tales and exemplars;
- get answers for those countries!

Standards - Questions

1. How do we get everybody (i.e. the proper parties) involved?
2. Which themes/sectors should be represented?
3. How best to encourage better regional representation (e.g. south Europe, Latin America)?

Questions (p2)

4. Should the content/substance of the LADM include other stuff (e.g. assessment/valuation, juridical/legal)?
5. What are the two key messages for your funder/Minister in the elevator speech?
 - If starting afresh?
 - If improving an existing system?

Standards – Policy advice

That procurement criteria include LADM-compliance:

- Funding agencies (e.g. WB)
- National governments/agencies

www.opengeospatial.org/pressroom/pressreleases/2208

Standards - Actions

Animate the discussion over the next two days!